

LEGAL AND GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.

ESTABLISHED OVER HALF A CENTURY.

10, FLEET STREET, LONDON.

FREE,
SIMPLE,

THE
PERFECTED
OF
LIFE
ASSURANCE.

AND
SECURE.

TOTAL ASSETS, £2,692,000. INCOME, £303,000.

The Yearly New Business exceeds ONE MILLION.

DIRECTORS.

Bacon, The Right Hon. Sir James.
Blake, Fredk. John, Esq.
Brooks, William, Esq. (Basingstoke).
Davy, Sir Horace, Q.C.
Deane, The Right Hon. Sir James Parker,
Q.C., D.C.L.
Dickinson, James, Esq., Q.C.
Ellis, Edmund Henry, Esq.
Garth, The Right Hon. Sir Richard, Q.C.
Harrison, Chas., Esq.
Kekewich, The Hon. Mr. Justice.
Lopes, The Right Hon. the Lord Justice.
Masterman, H. Chauncy, Esq.
Mathew, The Hon. Mr. Justice.

Meek, A. Grant, Esq. (Devizes).
Mellor, The Right Hon. John W., Q.C.,
M.P.
Mills, Richard, Esq.
Morrill, Frederic P., Esq. (Oxford).
Penberton, Henry Leigh, Esq.
Pennington, Richard, Esq.
Rowcliffe, Edward Lee, Esq.
Saltwell, William Henry, Esq.
Wilde, Spencer C., Esq.
Williams, C. Reynolds, Esq.
Williams, Roderic, Esq.
Williams, William, Esq.

VOL. XXXVII., No. 48.

The Solicitors' Journal and Reporter.

LONDON, SEPTEMBER 30, 1893.

Contents.

CURRENT TOPICS.....	773	NEW ORDERS, &c.....	777
BANKERS AND THE LAND TRANSFER		LEGAL NEWS	777
BILL	774	WINDING UP NOTICES	778
LEGISLATION IN PROGRESS.....	775	CREDITORS' NOTICES.....	778
REVIEWS	776	BANKRUPTCY NOTICES.....	778
CORRESPONDENCE	776	PUBLIC GENERAL STATUTES.	

Cases Reported this Week.

(BEFORE THE VACATION JUDGE.)

Hodgkins v. Sinclair.....	777
Silver v. Gatti.....	776

CURRENT TOPICS.

IT IS VERY MUCH to be hoped that members of the Incorporated Law Society will make an effort to be present at the Provincial Meeting at Manchester on the 10th and 11th of October, and take part, as far as practicable, in the discussion of the Land Transfer Bill. The meeting is rather happily timed in the interval between the sittings of Parliament, and no effort should be spared to bring into prominence the evils which the passing of the Bill will entail.

A "MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT," in a communication to the *Times* of the 26th inst., prefaces a very able criticism of the Land Transfer Bill with the following account of the attempt which was made to slip the Bill through the second reading stage, and so commit the House of Commons to its principle without discussion. Referring to the question put to Mr. GLADSTONE whether he would consider favourably the proposal to refer the measure to a select committee, the writer says:—

"Obviously the Bill is not one that could be satisfactorily dealt with in Committee of the whole House, although an ill-advised attempt was made on the very day on which it came down from the Lords to get it through the second reading stage *sub silentio*, without any stipulation as to the course to be subsequently followed. Mr. BALFOUR appealed to the member who blocked the Bill to withdraw his objection, on the ground that both sides of the House, or more correctly, probably, both front benches, were prepared to accept it. The member, however, refused to accede to this request, saying, with some reason, that the Bill had only been obtainable that very morning, and could not, therefore, have received from members the attention which its importance and the serious alterations it made in the law demanded." Happily, this is now a matter of history, but it is well to have the facts on record as shewing the tactics used in promoting the measure.

IN DISCUSSING the Bill the writer naturally deals first with the question of compulsion. "This measure," he says, "which might not improbably have passed into law without any discussion, proposes to compel owners of land to do that which not one in twenty thousand has ever done voluntarily, although ample facilities for so doing have been afforded for thirty years past." It is unnecessary now to say anything further as to this. The objection has never been answered, and it is not likely that any answer will ever be forthcoming. But since so much is being made of the security which registration is supposed to confer, it may be useful to quote some suggestions of the writer as to the effect of keeping trusts off the register. "Let me," he says, "put a case to illustrate the mischief of this Bill. Under clause 4 a tenant for life is registered as the owner, not as such, but as a limited proprietor. By sub-clause (6) it is forbidden to place on the register any notice of the settlement creating the limitation, but if the property is sold the purchase-money may be paid to the trustees or as they may direct. Consequently, the tenant for life and the trustees may sell the land, appropriate the money, and by destroying all traces of the settlement render it impossible to prove who has been defrauded. Under the present open system, the purchaser's deeds would tell the whole story." Again, "A man dies intestate, leaving an infant grandson (son of a deceased eldest son) and a younger son. The younger son, of course, gets administration and is registered as proprietor. He can make a perfect title to the real estate; can therefore sell it and pocket the money, while the heir, the grandson, when he comes of age, finds himself without remedy; for the compensation clauses would not apply in such a case. He might even never know that he had been defrauded by his uncle. The land sold might be situated in a distant county, the sale would probably take place when he was a child, and he might never know that his grandfather possessed any property of the kind. Instances might easily be multiplied, for the Bill opens the door to unlimited fraud against which our present system of investigation of title is a great safeguard." Cases of this kind should receive full consideration before the policy of dealing on the register with the legal title only is adopted. The writer of the article in question also points out that no real attempt is made to simplify the transfer of land, for copyhold tenure is still to continue; and, as to leaseholds, the Land Registry Office simply seeks for parliamentary power to do as it pleases. "A chief difficulty in all projects of land registration has been how to deal with leaseholds. Yet this difficulty the framers of the Bill have deliberately shirked. The matter, which affects most important interests, is not regulated by any of the clauses of the Bill, but is to be the subject of general rules to be framed by the Lord Chancellor and the registrar on any system they may think fit to adopt." We trust that the "Member of Parliament" will, in his place in the House, use these, and the other arguments he has at his command, with the effect they deserve.

ANOTHER ASPECT of the matter, to which, perhaps, attention has not yet been sufficiently directed, is forcibly put by Mr. C. ASHWORTH JAMES in the pamphlet which we notice elsewhere. The whole scheme of registration requires that all the transactions recorded in the office should be recorded in a few common

forms. "If," he says, "a transaction is in the slightest degree out of the common form, difficulties in its registration are always sure to arise. Consequently the office is bound to prescribe certain common forms of dealing which it will recognize, and to firmly ignore all transactions which cannot be expressed in those common forms." But though the routine of registration may require for its successful carrying on simplicity in forms, the actual dealings between the parties are often complicated by special terms, and the result is that these must be embodied in a separate deed. The special terms are for the parties only, and are not "to be brought into the registry, and to be interpreted and recorded by the registrar or his staff, for the registry must be worked on simple and common forms if it is to be worked expeditiously and well. Consequently, Mr. JAMES continues, "the parties must, in many cases, execute one document containing the usual and common elements which the office will recognize, and a second containing those elements which the office declines to recognize, or those elements which, not having been previously brought into the registry, it is impossible to say whether the registry will recognize or not. Hence, unless the special terms are abandoned, two documents must frequently be necessary to carry out a transaction which, under the present system of documentary title, might easily be evidenced in one only." All this, of course, does not affect the Land Registry Office, which, when the land is on the register, will have secured the fees; but it will very much affect landowners, who will frequently find themselves saddled with two sets of costs instead of one.

THERE HAS been a somewhat hot discussion in some of the Church papers as to certain alterations which were made in the judgment in the *Bishop of Lincoln's case* after it had been delivered in open court and had been published and reported. It was commenced by a letter from the secretary of the Church Association to the *Guardian*, in which he stated that there were three separate versions of the judgment: the first as delivered in court, which is not now to be purchased; the second as reported in the law reports; and the third as furnished to the prosecutors by the registrar of the Archbishop's Court, which last is stated to contain nearly a score of important alterations. It appears from subsequent statements that some of these alterations are mere corrections of verbal inaccuracies of reference and so forth; but others are stated to be very material. It is plain that these alterations were made and authenticated both by the Archbishop and his assessors. No one who has had any experience of law reporting will be disposed to deny the right of judges to alter the terms of their judgments after they have been delivered. The proofs of judgments returned to the reporters of the *Law Reports* by learned judges would, we fancy, sometimes afford curious and interesting examples of this. Some time ago we published an article by an old law reporter (we do not mean a reporter on the *Law Reports*) in which he gave an account of an incident which occurred in the Court of Appeal when Sir GEORGE JESSEL and the present Master of the Rolls were sitting together. One of the counsel, commenting on a judgment of Sir W. P. WOOD, said that the report was probably not exactly what he said, but was his judgment as corrected and altered by himself. "I don't believe it," said BRETT, L.J.; "I don't alter mine, and I don't think Lord HATHERLEY altered his much." Sir GEORGE JESSEL said, "I often alter mine, and I have heard that Lord HATHERLEY revised his a good deal: What do you say, Mr. INCE?" Mr. INCE said he had been a reporter in the deceased judge's court, and he could testify to the fact that he did occasionally alter his judgments. BRETT, L.J., said, "Perhaps he altered a word or two, but not thoughts." "Oh yes, he did," said Sir GEORGE JESSEL, "the sentences used to come out—whole pages of them, thoughts and all." The remarkable thing, however, about the alterations in the judgment in the *Bishop of Lincoln's case* is that they should be necessary in a document which occupied many months in its preparation, and that they should have been delayed until after the judgment had been issued by publishers and reported. The circumstances do not seem to redound very greatly to the credit of the draftsman of the judgment.

IT MAY perhaps be doubted whether the passing of the Law of Commons Amendment Act substantially injures the lords of manors, while it certainly secures a benefit to the public. Hitherto the lord has had the right under the Statute of Merton to inclose portions of the waste land of the manor provided he leaves sufficient pasture for the commoners. Also in certain manors he might, previously to 1887, with the consent of the homage, grant pieces of the waste as new copyholds. But in modern times the people really interested, at least in the neighbourhood of towns, in the preservation of commons from inclosure are the general public rather than the tenants of the manor; and this has been recognized in the various Inclosure Acts and also in the Commons Act, 1876. Consequently parliamentary inclosures cannot be made, nor can regulations for commons be drawn up, without regard being had "to the benefit of the neighbourhood as well as to private interests." But these considerations only affected the Inclosure Commissioners, and did not interfere with the legal rights of inclosure of the lord, whether under the Statute of Merton or under his right of granting new copyholds. The only way, then, in which the public, who in fact had enjoyed a common, could oppose him was by virtue of the rights of the commoners, and in well-known cases of recent years it has been possible thus to save commons for public enjoyment by shewing that the rights of pasture had not been left untouched. The improvement which has been made in the law in this respect consists in putting all such inclosures under the control of the Board of Agriculture, and in requiring that, before giving their consent, they shall be guided by the considerations which formerly affected the Inclosure Commissioners, and now affect their successors, the Board of Agriculture. The first step was taken in the Copyhold Act, 1887, which by section 6 placed grants of new copyholds out of the waste under the control of the Land Commissioners, now the Board of Agriculture. It is, perhaps, not an unnatural development to impose the same control upon attempts to inclose under the Statute of Merton. The effect will be to secure the enjoyment of commons to the public without compelling them to resort to the expensive litigation which has been frequent in the past.

A BREACH of a contract for personal service, whether the breach be on the part of employer or employed, is properly a subject for damages, and not for specific performance, or for an injunction to restrain the failure to employ or the failure to serve, as the case may be. In *Lumley v. Wagner* (1 De G. M. & G. 604) the court departed from this principle, where, in addition to an agreement by a public singer to sing at a certain place, there was the express negative stipulation that she would not sing elsewhere. But the recent case of *Whitwood Chemical Co. v. Hardman* (39 W. R. 433; 1891, 2 Ch. 416) shews that the exception only holds where there is such express negative stipulation. Hence, in the case of *Silver v. Gatti* in the Vacation Court, which we report elsewhere, KENNEDY, J., refused to grant an injunction to restrain the managers of a theatre from dismissing an actress, leaving her to her remedy in damages. The contract on their part was merely a positive contract to employ, without any negative stipulations.

BANKERS AND THE LAND TRANSFER BILL.

OF the numerous evils which the passing of the Land Transfer Bill, should that event happen, is likely to produce, few will be more keenly felt than the injury which it will inflict on bankers and their land-owning customers. The point has been frequently referred to of late, and it is stated with great clearness and force by Mr. C. ASHWORTH JAMES in an admirable pamphlet which he has recently published.* The present practice as to the raising of money by deposit of title deeds cannot be better described than by quoting a letter written in May last by a manager of a large joint-stock bank to the solicitor to the bank, which is printed in the "Observations on the Land Transfer Bill, 1893," issued by the Incorporated Law Society:—

* The Land Transfer Bill, 1893: its Objects and Probable Results. By Charles Ashworth James, Barrister-at-Law. Printed by Spottiswoode & Co.

"It is a matter of most frequent occurrence, that small freeholders requiring advances come to this bank, bringing the deeds of their properties."

I myself look at the deeds, and am generally able, where I know the applicants personally, to satisfy myself that their title will justify me in advancing the sums they require, which are usually taken on current accounts. I then fill up a printed form supplied by head office, which they sign, and that is the only formality. No charge beyond the usual bank charges is made, with the exception of the stamp duty, and when the advance is repaid the deeds are given up, and the document depositing them with us is destroyed. It is only in exceptional cases that I have to consult you, as solicitor to the bank, and then merely as to title, and not as to the instrument; in fact, as a rule, the costs of advances on land by the bank are not greater than those upon note of hand.

"If it became necessary that these advances, which are often for very short periods, should be secured by documents that would have to be registered, the expenses would probably prevent the transaction altogether, and a provision that loans for a short time need not be registered would not meet the difficulty, because, frequently, these loans, made originally for a short time, are extended indefinitely."

"With a somewhat lengthy experience, I think I may say that the practice I have mentioned is an almost universal one amongst country bankers (joint-stock)."

The practice of bank managers probably differs as to the frequency with which the deeds are sent to a solicitor for an examination of title, but where this is done the examination is usually made at once, and the cost to which the borrower is put is only slight. "With reference to mortgages," says a report from the Herts Law Society, printed in the Appendix to the Annual Report of the Council of the Incorporated Law Society (p. 183), "the banks frequently send round bundles of deeds to be advised upon by their solicitors. Their advice is often given on the same day as the deeds are received, and the advance upon equitable deposit is made on that day." Moreover, although the solicitor may have to examine the title, yet the memorandum of charge, when this is required, is made by means of a printed form, which is easily completed by filling in the date, the name of the borrower, and the amount of the advance, and by adding a schedule of the deeds deposited. The whole matter, therefore, is carried through with secrecy and despatch, and there is no expense except the stamp duty of one shilling per cent. and, in some cases, a fee of two guineas or thereabouts for examination of title.

With the present system of advancing money on deposit of the title deeds of unregistered land, we must compare the system of temporary advances which has been devised by the united wisdom of the authors of the Land Transfer Act, 1875, and the officials of the Land Registry Office. The essence of registration of title is that all the title deeds disappear, and are replaced by a land certificate. They have done their duty in establishing the title of the person who is registered as owner, and it is supposed to be sufficient that the fact of registration is evidenced by the certificate. What, then, is really the effect of the certificate? Upon this point we may quote from the pamphlet referred to above. "What," says Mr. JAMES (p. 45), "does your certificate tell a person to whom you shew it? The idea which naturally suggests itself is that your certificate is your title deed; that the certificate informs that person authoritatively that you own that land; that you can produce it and say, 'Here is my certificate; you can see from it that I am owner of that land or capable of selling it. Here is a duly signed official form of transfer of that land. Now pay me my purchase-money and go and register your transfer.' That idea is wholly erroneous. Your land certificate tells nobody anything, except that it was a correct copy of the register on a certain specified day in the past. It states on its face:—This certificate is evidence of the state of the register at the date of its issue only. For subsequent entries search must be made at the registry, with the written consent of the registered proprietor or his solicitor. So that your certificate tells nothing of the present state of the title, nor does the mere possession of it prove anything, for spent certificates are not cancelled or destroyed. They merely remain outstanding as so much waste paper."

But the effect of this state of things on mortgages by deposit of title deeds is at once evident. The certificate cannot be accepted as evidence of title until the banker has searched the register to discover if any subsequent entries have been made, and for this he will have to pay the office fees, and probably will have to employ a solicitor. What time and trouble the actual search will cost will depend upon the arrangements

of the registry, but it may be assumed that the necessity of attending at a public office will more than counterbalance any advantage that may be gained by dispensing with an examination of the deeds. In other words, it will better suit the convenience of the parties to have the deeds examined, as at present, in the solicitor's office than to send him off to ascertain the state of the title on the register.

In a letter to the *Times* of the 28th inst. Mr. HUNTER says that this result was not intended by the authors of the Land Transfer Act. Section 81 enacts that, "subject to any registered estates, charges, or rights, the deposit of the land certificate in the case of freehold land, and of the office copy of the registered lease in the case of leasehold land, shall, for the purpose of creating a lien on the land to which such certificate or lease relates, be deemed equivalent to a deposit of the title deeds of the land." And Mr. HUNTER refers to the regulation of the Land Registry Office, that "the certificate is not required to be produced on dealings with the land," as being at variance with the section. It may perhaps be doubted whether this is so, and whether, as Mr. HUNTER assumes, the authors of the Act are to be credited with the design of making the certificate a reliable indication of power to charge the land. The first words of the section are all-important. The deposit of the certificate is to create a lien, indeed, but only "subject to any registered estates, charges, or rights," and there is nothing to shew that these may not be registered after the date of the certificate as well as before.

But the failure of the certificate to guarantee the title for the time being not only renders it necessary, upon a mortgage, to search the register for past dealings; it also makes it necessary to protect the lender against future dealings by lodging a caution with the registrar. This is done under section 53 of the Act of 1875, which provides that "any person interested under any unregistered instrument . . . in any land or charge registered in the name of any other person may lodge a caution with the registrar to the effect that no dealing with such land or charge be had on the part of the registered proprietor until notice has been served on the cautioner." Lodging a caution is, perhaps, no very troublesome matter, though even before the recent change in fees it did cause an appreciable amount of trouble and expense, which is not incidental to equitable mortgages of unregistered land. But in 1889 the Land Registry officials took it into their heads that the system of equitable charge by deposit of the land certificate and the lodging of a caution ought to be, as their own regulations say, "discouraged," and consequently the fee to be paid for the caution was the same as on the registration of a mortgage. "What conceivable ground," says Mr. JAMES, from whose pamphlet (p. 49) we are again glad to quote, "can the office have to discourage the practice, except that it is cheap? The Act of 1875 (section 81) is careful to provide for the continuance of the practice, so far as is consistent with registration of title, and yet the office takes upon itself to 'discourage,' or, in other words, put an end to, the practice, because the same transaction carried out in another way would bring in more fees. If this is the policy of the office which has to administer the system of registration when it is seeking to render itself attractive under a voluntary system, what is to be expected by landowners, if they no longer have any choice, but must employ the office? Surely one would have imagined that where cheapness has already been achieved under the existing system, it would have been sought to preserve that cheapness, and not to ingeniously go out of the way to discourage it; and that, too, in a matter which the Act itself seems to regard with favour." As to the rule that the old fee of £1 might still cover a temporary caution we have already expressed our opinion, and, as to Mr. BRICKDALE's suggestion that a caution need not be employed at all, but that an inhibiting order may be obtained instead, we are content to wait until someone has been bold enough, for the purpose of an equitable charge, to apply under section 57 for an order which the official regulations say will "only be issued in very special circumstances."

This fee on lodging a caution is, of course, the most serious item in the whole business. Previously to 1889 it would have been calculated at 2s. 6d. for the first £1,000, and 6d. for every additional £500 or part of £500. Now it is on the same scale as that for the first registration of title to the land—namely, 5s.

for the first £50, increasing to £14 for £10,000, and then 10s. for every £1,000 up to £100,000. Thus for registering cautions for charges of £1,500, £10,000, and £20,000, the fees are now £5, £14, and £19 respectively. These are a clear addition to the expense involved in a mortgage by deposit of title deeds, and will both be felt as a serious tax by landowners, and will tend to check this form of borrowing money. Further, there is the trouble and expense caused by interposing a public office between the borrower and lender. All this will affect very prejudicially the business of bankers, and we are glad to know that efforts are being made to bring the fact home to them, and to secure their influence against the Bill.

LEGISLATION IN PROGRESS.

BILLS PASSED INTO LAW.—On Friday, the 22nd inst., the Royal Assent was given to the Appropriation, Reformatory Schools, Statute Law Revision (No. 2), Trustee (Consolidation), Elementary Education (School Attendance), Metropolis Management (Plumstead and Hackney), Law of Commons Amendment, Expiring Laws Continuance, Fertilizers and Feeding-stuffs, and Companies (Winding-up) Bills.

REVIEWS.

BOOKS RECEIVED.

Factory Accounts: Their Principles and Practice. A Handbook for Accountants and Manufacturers. With Appendices on the Nomenclature of Machine Details, the Income Tax Acts, the Rating of Factories, Fire and Boiler Insurance, the Factory and Workshop Acts, &c., including also a Glossary of Terms and a large number of Specimen Rulings. By EMILE GARCKE and J. M. FELLIS. Fourth Edition, Revised and Enlarged. Crosby, Lockwood, & Son.

The Student's Guide to the Principles of the Common Law. By JOHN INDERMAUR, Solicitor. Third Edition. George Barber.

CORRESPONDENCE.

THE LAND TRANSFER BILL.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—The able and elucidatory letter of Mr. B. G. Lake which you published in your issue of the 9th inst., pointing out the wrongs and anomalies, many and far reaching, which would inevitably ensue were the compulsory clauses of the Land Transfer Bill made law, leaves little more to be said on the subject.

But, as you say, this is not the time for perfunctory work; the crucial moment has come. I am sure the opinion of a great lawyer, and a Lord Chancellor, I mean Lord St. Leonards, whose name is held in deep veneration by all lawyers, will have weight at the present juncture. He wrote:—"I have often directed my attention to the expediency of a general registry; and my settled conviction is that it would not be advisable. A general registry wantonly exposes the concerns of all mankind; and by the negligence of an agent, a purchaser or mortgagee may lose the estate if the seller or mortgagor fraudulently sell or mortgage to another person whose deed is the first registered. If the law made every unregistered deed void it would be as mischievous a law as ever passed. No Registry Act has yet gone beyond the purpose of protecting purchasers who register their deeds against prior deeds not registered. No law can impart activity and intelligence to idle and ignorant persons; and, therefore, many clients would be ruined, without any neglect of their own, by the operation of the proposed law. If all deeds were directed to take effect according to priority of execution, a subsequent purchaser or mortgagee could never be sure that there was not some prior deed which might be registered after his, and yet have precedence over it. If, on the other hand, all deeds were to take effect according to priority of registration, the delay of a prior purchaser or mortgagee might, by rendering void his deed, prove highly advantageous to a subsequent purchaser or mortgagee. A register would not work well without maps, and they would cost at least two millions, and the number of deeds requiring registry would destroy the plan by its own weight. If registry offices were once established throughout the kingdom, with all the patronage annexed to them, they never could be got rid of, however objectionable they might prove, without at least the country's paying a heavy compensation for the loss to the officers in possession. The expense of a registry would be enormous, and would in truth be a new burden on the land." E. HOSKING.

Liverpool, Sept. 22.

[The quotation relates, of course, to a registry of deeds, but many of the observations are applicable to the registry of title.—ED. S. J.]

PROBATE REGISTRY—FOUR HOURS' DAY.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—In one of your contemporaries an article is extracted from the *Pall Mall Gazette* relating to the short attendance of the officials at the Probate Registry at Somerset House. The writer says:—"The inconvenience therein referred to is not only much felt now, but has been for years, and I am surprised that many solicitors have not before now mooted and brought the grievance before the proper authorities. The hours during the 'long vacation'—11 till 3—are simply in my opinion absurd. Fancy an official with a berth of four hours a day, and out of that period I suppose he is occupied for the best part of an hour for lunch and washing and brushing up before leaving his arduous duties for the day. If the hours were altered from 10 to 4 for all the working days of the year I think it would be an improvement, and the bulk of the legal profession would rejoice and be glad. Many a time I personally have had the luck (or misfortune) to have to search for a will and have arrived half an hour before closing time (and could get there no sooner owing to other matters), and, after hunting, found the required will, but not having had sufficient time to read it through, the closing hour has arrived, and not a minute over the allotted legal (or rather illegal) time has been allowed. No, you must give up the document, go another day, and pay another fee."

The observation in the extract "This might be remedied, but few people know to whom the complaint ought to be made," is a sad one, as there are so many head officials connected with this department; any complaint made brings a broad grin to the face of the person to whom it is made, he evidently thinking that it is actual impertinence to suppose they should work more than three or four hours a day.

Now, sir, I think that the proper person to whose attention this grievance should be brought is that of the President, Sir F. H. Jeune, and, if I mistake not, an alteration may probably be made or expected soon after the long vacation has expired.

Sept. 23.

J. HEDDERLY WHITE.

OLD LAW LISTS.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—Will you allow us through your columns to say that we have copies of the *Law List* for the following years, 1812, 1813, 1815, 1822, 1828, 1833, 1847, 1849, 1851, 1857, and 1862, which we should be very happy to give to any library desiring same. Having regard to their age, they are in fair condition.

29, Mark-lane, London, E.C., Sept. 26.

CASES OF THE WEEK.

Before the Vacation Judge.

SILVER v. GATTI—20th and 21st September.

AGREEMENT—NO NEGATIVE WORDS—PERSONAL SERVICE—INJUNCTION—SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE.

This was a motion by the plaintiff to restrain the defendants from dismissing her from her engagement at the theatre of which the defendants were the managers, in breach of an agreement dated the 5th of June, 1893, and that the defendants might be restrained from employing any person other than the plaintiff during the run of a play called "A Woman's Revenge" to act the part of Mabel Wentworth in that play. The agreement of the 5th of June, 1893, was to the following effect:—"Agreement made this fifth day of June, 1893, between A. Gatti and Gatti, managers of the Royal Adelphi Theatre, London, of the one part, and Gertrude Kingston (the plaintiff's professional name), of 45, Eaton-terrace, S.W., of the other part. The said managers engage the said Gertrude Kingston at a salary of £12 per week according to the custom of playhouse pay—that is, for such nights only as the said theatre shall be open for theatrical performances under the said management—to rehearse and perform to the best of her skill and ability the heavy juvenile lead at the said theatre, or any other, as often as she shall be warned to do so by the said managers, or any other person on their behalf, or by notice in the bills of the day or newspapers, receiving in addition to the above-named salary, when called upon to perform at morning performances, a night's salary for each and every morning performance, and receiving also the charge of the railway fares to and fro when required to perform out of London. All dress found. This engagement to commence with the production of the new piece at the said theatre on or about Saturday, the 11th of June, 1893, for the run of the piece. The said Gertrude Kingston will abide by and conform to the rules and regulations hereto annexed, and which are to be taken and considered as forming part of this agreement, and are signed by her, and will pay such of the several sums therein mentioned as shall from time to time attach upon or be payable by her, or suffer the same to be stopped and deducted from any salary then or thereafter to become due and payable to her, it being hereby expressly understood and agreed that the same shall, for all purposes of this agreement, be deemed to be a debt due and owing to the said managers."

Then followed the rules and regulations referred to in the agreement. Among these rules and regulations was the following:—"Any person under any engagement or employment at this theatre performing, dancing, singing, or otherwise exercising his or her talent for his or her remuneration, or for that of any other person, or for the advantage of any other theatre, establishment, or place of amusement during such engagement or employment without the previous permission of the manager in writing, and, although not thereby prevented from fulfilling his or her engagement with the said managers, shall for each and every such breach of this rule pay the sum of £200 as and for liquidated damages, and shall also forfeit his or her engagement if the management will by writing declare it forfeited." The plaintiff complained that the defendants had refused to allow her to fulfil her part, and her case was that they should be restrained from employing any other actress to act in her part. The defendants said that the plaintiff had instructed her agents to look for another engagement when she left the theatre for a time. The plaintiff played the part of Mabel Wentworth from the 1st of July to the 18th of August, when she went for a rest. The plaintiff denied that she had sought another engagement. On behalf of the plaintiff it was argued that an actress is in a peculiar position, and that in a case like the present the bargain should be reciprocal. The following cases were referred to in the arguments:—*Whitworth Chemical Co. v. Hardman* (39 W. R. 433; 1881, 2 Ch. 416), *Fisher v. Jackson* (1891, 2 Ch. 84; 39 W. R. Dig. 83), *National Provincial Bank of England v. Marshall* (37 W. R. 188, 40 Ch. D. 112), *Montague v. Flockton* (21 W. R. 668, L. R. 16 Eq. 189), *Wolverhampton and Walsall Railway Co. v. London and North-Western Railway Co.* (L. R. 16 Eq. 433, 22 W. R. Dig. 188), *Lumley v. Wagner*, 1 De G. M. & G. 604.

KENNEDY, J., refused to grant the injunction, and said in the course of his judgment:—"The second part of this application, which asks for an injunction to restrain the defendants from employing any person other than the plaintiff during the run of the play 'A Woman's Revenge' to act the part of Mabel Wentworth in that play, is wider than the claim indorsed on the writ, which merely asks for an injunction to restrain the defendants from dismissing the plaintiff. The agreement in respect of which this action is brought was an agreement made in June of this year. It seems to me that the application which is made on behalf of the plaintiff is substantially an application for specific performance of the agreement. No doubt in form it is an application for an injunction. I heard fully the arguments which were addressed to me by counsel for the applicant. No authority has been cited which gives any real ground for such an order, which is in fact an order that the employer continue the employment of the artiste. The cases relied on most were those of *The National Provincial Bank of England v. Marshall*, *Wolverhampton, &c., Railway Co. v. London, &c., Railway Co.*, *Fisher v. Jackson*, and *Whitworth Chemical Co. v. Hardman*. There is no authority which, so far as I can see, justifies me in acceding to this application. *Lumley v. Wagner* is the nearest case, but after the case of *Whitworth Chemical Co. v. Hardman* it must be looked upon as rather an anomaly to be followed in similar cases, and not to be extended. *Montague v. Flockton* has been disapproved of, and I need not refer to it. Assuming, for the moment, that there has been a breach of contract on the part of the defendants, the Court of Chancery will not grant a remedy of this kind. It seems to me to fall within that class of agreements to which this remedy is not applicable. It is a contract for personal service, skilled, no doubt, but still personal service, and unless it comes within *Lumley v. Wagner* the remedy by injunction is not applicable. There are no express negative words as in *Lumley v. Wagner*. It was contended that, as on the part of the plaintiff there were negative words, the remedy ought to be reciprocal. There are no negative words; but even if there were, I do not think the application to enjoin the defendants could be granted. The case of *Fisher v. Jackson* is a totally different kind of case. It was a case of trustees of an endowed school, and the only method of dismissing the schoolmaster had not been fulfilled. I must dismiss the application, leaving the plaintiff to her remedy in damages, if there has been a breach of contract. I think this is an application which could not succeed. I think the costs ought to be the defendants' costs in any event.—COUNSEL, *Marten, Q.C.*, and *Martelli*; *A. & B. Terrell*. SOLICITORS, *Fladgates*; *R. A. Roberts*.

[Reported by V. DE S. FOWKE, Barrister-at-Law.]

HODGKINS v. SINCLAIR—27th September.

RECEIVER—ADMINISTRATION—EXECUTOR.

This was a motion in an administration action by the plaintiff that a receiver might be appointed to collect, get in, and receive the debts due and outstanding belonging to the trade or business of a dealer in works of art carried on by the testator, and to manage the business and to get in the outstanding estate of the testator. The receiver proposed in the notice of motion was an accountant. The plaintiff was a creditor, and it was said that the widow and executrix was incompetent to carry on the business. On the other side it was contended that an executor could not be displaced in a case where (as here) no wasting of the assets had been proved and the estate was solvent, and that, even if the estate was insolvent, that would be no reason for appointing a receiver. The defendant was sole legatee and executrix, and had sufficient technical skill and knowledge to carry on the business.

KENNEDY, J., said he would appoint a person nominated by the widow, which offer was accepted. His lordship said it was conceded that an executor would not be displaced except for incompetence or wasting the assets, and that insolvency alone of the estate was not a ground for interfering with the executor. In the present case it was disputed whether the estate was solvent or insolvent. The evidence showed that the business had been carried on properly, and the executrix could not be displaced absolutely. But she herself had in her affidavit intimated that she would be willing to step aside in favour of a gentleman mentioned in the affidavits.

Looking at the practice of the court, and seeing that there was no proof of mismanagement, and that the court paid great respect to the position of an executor, he should not be justified in interfering, except by appointing some person approved of by the defendant.—COUNSEL, *Fischer, Q.C.*, and *Micklem*; *Marten, Q.C.*, and *Gover*; *Kenyon Parker*. SOLICITORS, *Waterhouse, Winterbotham, Harrison, & Harpur*; *Allen & Son*.

[Reported by V. DE S. FOWKE, Barrister-at-Law.]

NEW ORDERS, &c.

THE PREVENTION OF CRIMES ACT, 1871.

A circular has been issued by order of the Secretary of State, drawing attention to the fact that several cases had lately come under his notice which appeared to shew that some justices were unaware of the amendments which have been made in certain provisions of the Prevention of Crimes Act, 1871, by the Penal Servitude Act, 1891. Section 5 of the former Act is amended, both with regard to the conditions as to reporting to the police when a licence holder removes from one police district to another, and with regard to the punishment for all offences under the section. To the latter amendment the Secretary of State would call special attention, for as the law stood before 1891, if a person convicted of an offence were the holder of a licence at the time of his conviction, the court was required in every case to forfeit his licence and had only an option as to imposing additional imprisonment in certain cases. As now amended the court may either forfeit his licence or impose imprisonment, but has no power to inflict both punishments. The court may, therefore, reduce the penalty to a short sentence of imprisonment in cases where it considers that weight should be given to any extenuating circumstances. In deciding what sentence to impose under this section, magistrates are reminded that if the licence holder forfeits his licence he becomes forthwith liable to serve, not the whole period for which the licence was originally granted, but the remainder of that period unexpired at the time of the conviction. Under the prison rules, however, he may, by good conduct, earn a remission of one-fourth, and in the case of a female convict one-third, of this remainder. If, on the other hand, imprisonment is imposed for an offence under section 5, inasmuch as the magistrates have now discretionary power, the Secretary of State will regard the sentence they impose as adequate punishment, and will not in any case exercise the power of revoking the licence on account of a conviction for an offence under the section. Their special attention is also directed to the amendments made in sections 7 and 8 of that Act by section 6 of the Penal Servitude Act, 1891. Section 15 of the Act of 1871, which amends section 4 of the Vagrancy Act, 1824, is further amended by section 7 of the Act of 1891. The text of the sections referred to and the amendments are given in full, the latter being printed in italics. It should also be noted that the Home Office Circular of January 10, 1887, which called attention to the points in the Prevention of Crimes Act, 1871, that have now been amended, is no longer in force.

LEGAL NEWS.

OBITUARY.

We regret to announce the death of Mr. JOHN MOTT MAIDLOW, barrister, which occurred on the 29th inst., at the age of fifty-four. Mr. Maidlow, who was the son of Mr. W. Maidlow, of Sydenham, was educated at Queen's College, Oxford, where he took a double first, and was called to the bar in 1867. He was a sound lawyer and had an extensive practice both in court and as a conveyancer. He had been suffering for some time from a fatal disease and had been obliged to absent himself from chambers. His comparatively early death will be mourned by many friends and former pupils.

APPOINTMENTS.

Mr. C. W. MATTHEWS, barrister, has been appointed Recorder of Salisbury in the place of the late Mr. J. D. Chambers.

CHANGES IN PARTNERSHIPS.

DISSOLUTION.

JAMES MILNES JENNINGS and THOMAS HADDON TRIGG, solicitors (Jennings & Co.), Great Driffield. August 8. [Gazette, Sept. 22.]

GENERAL.

On the 22nd inst. Mr. H. H. Fowler, M.P., President of the Local Government Board, was entertained at dinner at the Town-hall, Wolverhampton, and was afterwards presented with his portrait in oils in recognition of his public services to the town.

The *Westminster Gazette* announces the death, on Thursday, of Alderman Richardson, a noted Lancashire solicitor, and ex-Mayor of Bolton. He had been a member of the town council for over forty years. In 1834 he was defeated as "Independent-Conservative" candidate for the borough.

Tuesday's *London Gazette* contains the formal announcement that the Queen has appointed Sir Charles Syngé Christopher Bowen, one of the Lords Justices of Appeal, to be a Lord of Appeal in Ordinary, and granted to him the dignity of a Baron for life, by the style and title of Baron Bowen, of Colwood, in the county of Sussex.

The *Times* Rangoon correspondent says that the Chamber of Commerce there has memorialized the Government to establish a High Court in Burmah. The plan generally supported is to abolish the Mouleim and Mandalay courts and the special court here, and to form a High Court here with four judges, three of whom will always be present for original and appellate work, while the fourth will tour through the province. The present system is admittedly inadequate. Several Burmah appeals have been pending in the Calcutta High Court for two years, and a serious block is threatened in the Recorder's Court, notwithstanding that the judges are unremitting in their work.

The *Times* publishes a letter from Messrs. Cancellor & White, in which they say:—"We beg to call your attention, and that of your readers, to a matter which we consider of the greatest public importance to schoolmasters and mistresses, solicitors, and stockbrokers. A man, writing from Paris, Marceilles, Dieppe, and other French towns under the names of Laval, Halford, Hewitt, and other aliases, communicates with the headmaster or mistress of some large public school saying he is thinking of sending some boys or girls to England, and would like to know terms, &c., at the same time asking for the name of some solicitors. He is supplied with the latter, and then opens a correspondence with the solicitors about the drafting of a trust deed for his children whilst in England, and ends by asking for the name of some reliable stockbroker, as he wishes to sell or buy some large amount of stock. Having been supplied with the names of the stockbrokers, he asks them, upon the introduction of the solicitors, whose card and letter he incloses, to buy some stock for investment or sell some large amount of which he is the holder. On the settlement day, and some meantime the markets have gone in his favour, the money or stock is not forthcoming, and it therefore frequently involves serious loss to the brokers concerned. As seven or eight different firms have been victimized by this man, amongst them, unfortunately, ourselves, we would thank you to insert this as a warning to others."

STAMMERERS of all ages, and parents of stammering children should read a book written by a gentleman who cured himself after suffering nearly forty years. Post-free for thirteen stamps from Mr. B. BEARLEY, Brampton-park, Huntingdon, or "Sherwood," Willesden-lane, Brondesbury, London.

WARNING TO INTENDING HOUSE PURCHASERS & LESSORS.—Before purchasing or renting a house have the Sanitary arrangements thoroughly examined by an expert from The Sanitary Engineering & Ventilation Co., 65, next the Meteorological Office, Victoria-st., Westminster (S.W.), who also undertake the Ventilation of Offices, &c. [ADVT.]

WINDING UP NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Sept. 22.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

AGENCY AND EXPLORATION CO. OF AUSTRALIA, LIMITED.—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 21, to send their names and addresses, and particulars of their debts or claims, to Charles Tottenham, 7, Union st, Old Broad st. Slaughter & May, Auctioneers, solicitors for liquidators.

D'OTLY & Co., LIMITED.—Petition for winding up, presented Sept 14, directed to be heard on Sept 27. Rubinstein, 20, Regent st, solicitor for petitioner. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Sept 26.

HULL AND EAST RIDING COLLEGE, LIMITED.—Creditors are required, on or before Nov 24, to send their names and addresses, and particulars of their debts or claims, to William Parker Burkinshaw, 4, Parliament st, Hull.

ITALO BRITANNICA TRADING CO., LIMITED.—Creditors are required, on or before Nov 6, to send their names and addresses, and particulars of their debts or claims, to Enrico Arbib and William Thomas Jones, 51, Gracechurch st.

NOTTING HILL SANITARY STRAIN LAUNDRY CO., LIMITED.—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 30, to send their names and addresses, and particulars of their debts or claims, to William F. Beckford, care of G. H. Chantrey, 24, Coleman st. Halliley & Stimson, Bedford, solicitors for liquidator.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Sept. 26.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

ELMORE'S FOREIGN AND COLONIAL PATENT COPPER DEPOSITING CO., LIMITED.—Petition for winding up, presented Sept 19, directed to be heard on Wednesday, Oct 4. Robinson & Wilkins, 19, King's Arms yard, solicitors for petitioner. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Oct 3.

GIFFARD GUN AND ORDNANCE CO., LIMITED.—Creditors are required, on or before Nov 10,

to send in their names and addresses, and particulars of their debts or claims, to Edward Jones, Copthall House, Copthall avenue.

HOBROCK, LIMITED.—Petition for winding up, presented Aug 28, directed to be heard on Oct 25. Greenop & Sons, 9, Gracechurch st, solicitors for petitioner. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Oct 24.

SOUTH OF ENGLAND MARINE ASSURANCE ASSOCIATION, LIMITED.—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 21, to send their names and addresses, and particulars of their debts or claims, to George James Parkman, 19, Laura pl, Southampton. Greenop & Sons, 9, Gracechurch st, solicitors for liquidator.

THORNBURY MINERS' SAFETY LAMP CO., LIMITED.—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 21, to send their names and addresses, and particulars of their debts or claims, to Frederick Newton, 9, Warrington crescent, Maida vale. Greenop & Sons, 9, Gracechurch st, solicitors for liquidator.

FRIENDLY SOCIETIES DISSOLVED.

BROMSBOROUGH INDEPENDENT FRIENDLY SOCIETY, Bell Inn, Bromsbrough, Glou. Sept 23. GOOD INTENT Loyal Lodge, Walwall District, Independent Order of Oddfellows, Wolverhampton Unity, Royal Exchange, Walwall Wood, Staffs. Sept 23.

IPON HALL FRIENDLY SOCIETY, Black Cock Inn, Llanthomas, Brecon. Sept 23. MASONS' ARMS FRIENDLY SOCIETY, Coffee house, Petworth, Sussex. Sept 23.

MILL HILL SUNDAY SCHOOL AND FRIENDLY SICK SOCIETY, Mill Hill School, Chapel st, Blackburn, Lancs. Sept 23.

NEWQUAY PRIZE OF WALES FRIENDLY SOCIETY, Castle Inn, Newquay, Cardigan. Sept 19. ST. MARTIN'S FRIENDLY SOCIETY, Sea Lion Hotel, Hanley, Staffs. Sept 23.

UNITED WAITERS' BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION, 68, Long acre. Sept 23.

CREDITORS' NOTICES.

UNDER 22 & 23 VICT. CAP. 35.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Sept. 19.

ALLEN, WILLIAM, Clapton, Berkeley, Glou, Farmer Oct 31 Scott, Berkeley.

BAYLIS, MARY ANNA, Winchester Oct 31 Scott, Berkeley.

BLANE, MARGARET ROSE, Bournemouth Oct 31 Taylor & Co, Furnival's inn.

CORRY, WILLIAM, New Windsor, Pork Butcher Oct 14 Durant, Windsor.

COULSON, ANN ALICE, Haydon Bridge, Northumbria Oct 21 Charlton, jun, Blyth.

COX, JOHN, Cheltenham, Market Gardener Oct 20 W & C H Jessop, Cheltenham.

DE FERRIER, ROSARIO DUQUET, Shaftesbury villas, Allen st, Kensington Oct 24 Druce & Attlee, Billiter sq.

DERRY, JOSEPH, Solon New rd, Clapham Oct 22 Snow & Co, Gt St Thomas Apostle, Queen st.

DIXON, THOMAS, Lady Somerset rd, Highgate rd, Gent Oct 16 Elliott, Verulam bldg, Gray's inn.

ELEER, MARY ANN, Bath Oct 31 Talbot & Tasker, Bedford row.

FIRTH, MARY ANN, Croydon, Surrey Oct 31 Lickorish & Bellord, Queen Victoria st.

GRANT, ANNE, Astwood rd, Cromwell rd Oct 27 Ranken & Co, South sq, Gray's inn; Harvie & Co, King William st.

HALLAS, RICHARD, Farnley Tyas, Almondbury, Yorks, Farmer Oct 2 Armitage & Sykes, Huddersfield.

HAY, WILLIAM KEE, Cheltenham, retired Surgeon General, late Madras Army Oct 17 Titchhurst & Sons, Cheltenham.

HINCHLIFFE, HERBERT, Mytholmroyd, Yorks, Cotton Spinner Oct 9 Furniss & Eastwood, Bradford.

KING, GEORGE, Saxlingham, Nethergate, Norfolk, Clerk in Holy Orders Oct 11 Overbury & Steward, Norwich.

LEE, JANE, West-hill, Highgate Oct 31 Scott, Gloucester.

MASON, JANE, Adelaide rd, Hampstead Oct 20 Venning & Co, Old Broad st.

MAYOR, WILLIAM, Castle Eden, co Durham, Clerk in Holy Orders Oct 31 Moore & Co, Sunderland.

MIDDLETON, FRANCIS, Wisbech St Peter, Cambs, Brewer Oct 21 Ollard, Wisbech.

NEWSHAM, ELIZABETH, Sutherland sq, Walworth Oct 12 Armstrong, Renfrew rd, Lambeth.

PALMER, HENRY JAMES, Eastney, Portsmouth, co Southampton, Brickmaker Nov 1 Edgcombe & Co, Portsea.

PALMER, WILLIAM ALFRED, Southgate, Licensed Victualler Oct 31 Sawbridge & Son, Aldermanbury.

PENYTON, JOHN FRANCIS, Cornwell House, nr Chipping Norton, Oxon, Esq Nov 1 Wilde & Co, College hill.

READ, ELIZABETH, Woodbridge, Suffolk Oct 20 Welton, Woodbridge.

SALE, WILLIAM, Worcester, late Cattle Market Clerk Oct 21 Jeffery, Worcester.

SANDERSON, JANE, North Shields Oct 31 Dickinson & Co, North Shields and Newcastle on Tyne.

SLINGER, JOHN, Halton, Lancs, Farmer Oct 2 Sharp & Son, Lancaster.

TRYON, Vice-Admiral Sir GEORGE, K.C.B., Commander in Chief on Mediterranean Station, Eaton place Nov 1 Bladen & Wing, Dalahay st, Westminster.

VANHAM, HARRIETT, Fallow, co Warwick Dec 12 Berridge & Sons, Leicester.

VACCHAN, Richard, Bathwick, Bath, Esq Oct 16 Fox & Whitlock, Bristol.

WOODLAND, ELIZABETH, Southsea Nov 3 King, Landport.

BANKRUPTCY NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Sept. 22.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ALLEN, ALFRED JOHN, Evelina rd, Nunhead, Greengrocer High Court Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18.

ASBEE, JOHN, West Town, Somerset, Market Gardener Bristol Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

BATHUR, THOMAS, Macclesbury, nr Overstry, Salop, Miller Wrexham Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

BAXTER, ARTHUR THOMAS, Lowest Bourmouth, Kent, Fruit Dealer Canterbury Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20.

BENNETT, HENRY WHITE, West Bromwich, Painter West Bromwich Pet Sept 15 Ord Sept 15.

BIRD, WILLIAM CUTLERWELL, Leicester, Dyer Leicester Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18.

BOOTH, WILLIAM STONEY, Derby, Coal Merchant Derby Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

BOTTOMS, THOMAS, Aston, Warwickshire, Cycle Manufacturer Birmingham Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

BUDGEN, JOHN ALFRED, Leicester, Beerhouse Keeper Leicester Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 16.

CAMBOU, GEORGE OLIVER, Craven st, Strand, Wine Merchant High Court Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18.

CHAPPEL, JOHN, Battersea Rise, Surrey, Music Hall Manager Rochester Pet Aug 21 Ord Sept 19.

CLARKSON, ALBERT, Halifax, China Dealer Halifax Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18.

CLEAVER, GEORGE, Kettering, Shoe Manufacturer Northampton Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

DAVIES, DAVID, Troedyrhiw-furch, Glam, Grocer Merthyr Tydfil Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

DAWSON, WALTER, Copthall avenue, Stock Dealer High Court Pet Aug 12 Ord Sept 18.

DAY, JOSEPH, and JULES DENT YOUNG, Cannon st, Mechanical Engineers High Court Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

DAY & Co, Bath, Engineers Bath Pet Aug 9 Ord Sept 20.

DE VICE, ARTHUR JAMES, Flomstead, Kent, Clerk in a Life and Fire Insurance Co Greenwich Pet Sept 13 Ord Sept 13.

DIBB, JOHN, Armley, Leeds, Cowkeeper Leeds Ord Sept 18 Ord Sept 18.

GOLDSWORTHY, JOHN, Wrexham, Engine Driver Wrexham Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18.

GRIFFITHS, GRIFITH, Llanrhysadr yn Mochnant, Denbighshire, Innkeeper Newtown Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20.

HUNT, SHAW, Riddings, Golcar, nr Huddersfield, Engine Tender Huddersfield Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18.

JERRARD, RICHARD, New inn Broadway, Curtain rd, Timber Merchant High Court Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

JOHNSON, FLETCHER ATKINSON, Bradford, Chemist Bradford Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

JONES, RICHARD POWELL, Tredegar, Mon, Greengrocer Tredegar Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

KIRBY, HENRY, St Leonards, Sussex, Ironmonger Hastings Pet Sept 16 Ord Sept 16.

KNIGHTS, GEORGE, Leeds, Innkeeper's Manager Leeds Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 20.

LEE, GEORGE, Southsea, Optician Portsmouth Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20.

LEWIS, Enoch BAILEY, Stafford, formerly Beerhouse Keeper Dudley Pet Aug 31 Ord Sept 14.

MARRIS, CHARLES JOHN, Barry Dock, Glam, Tobaccoist Cardiff Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18.

MARTIN, HENRY WILLIAM, Eccles, Aylesford, Kent, Brakesman at the Durham Lime Works Maidstone Pet Sept 16 Ord Sept 16.

MORGAN, JOHN, Swansea, Commission Agent Swansea Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

MOSE, ELIZABETH, Bolton, Labourer in an Iron Foundry Bolton Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19.

NURSERLEY, RICHARD, Melmoth pl, Fulham, Fancy Draper High Court Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20.

PORTER, WALTER, St George, Glou, Grocer Bristol Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20.

PRICE, GEORGE HENRY, Farlow, Salop, Miller Kidderminster Pet Aug 28 Ord Sept 14.

HARRISON, RICHARD THOMAS, Long lads, Bermondsey, Licensed Victualler High Court Pet Aug 31 Ord Sept 20
 HADD, HENRY EDWARD, Tollerhant D'Arcy, Essex, Baker Chelmsford Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 HAINSON, TOM JOHN, Ditchampton, Wilts, Basket Maker Salisbury Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19
 SPENCE, AMOS KIRKHAM, Spilsby, Lincs, Tailor Boston Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 20
 STREETER, WILLIAM, Glashouse st, Regent st, Green-grocer High Court Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19
 STILL, JAMES, Wilton, Wilts, Gardener Salisbury Pet Sept 16 Ord Sept 16
 STONE, HENRY CHARLES, Cowfold, Sussex, Farmer Brighton Pet Aug 10 Ord Sept 19
 TATUM, ROBERT, Bagillt, Flint, Innkeeper Chester Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18
 TOMBETT, GEORGE, Alkham, Kent, Farmer Canterbury Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 WALKWRIGHT, SAMUEL, Higham, nr Alfreton, Derbyshire, Coal Miner Derby Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 WAITE, HENRY, Store st, Livery Stable Keeper High Court Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19
 WATTS, JOHN THOMAS, King's Lynn, Tailor King's Lynn Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 WHITE, CORNELIUS, Mortimer West End, Hants, Grocer Reading Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 WHITE, JOSEPH, Salisbury, Fruiterer Salisbury Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 WILKINSON, JOSEPH, Chorlton on Medlock, Manchester, Saddler Manchester Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19

FIRST MEETINGS.

ASHREE, JOHN, West Town, Somerset, Market Gardener Oct 4 at 1 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Corn st, Bristol
 BALL, JOHN HENRY, Plymouth, Butcher Oct 2 at 11 10, Albion street, Plymouth
 BARAGATE, A F, Fenchurch avenue Sept 29 at 1 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
 CAMBRIDGE, BENJAMIN, Swindon, Furniture Dealer Oct 2 at 3 Off Rec, 32, High st, Swindon
 CLARKSON, ALBERT, Halifax, China Dealer Oct 4 at 11 Off Rec, Townhall chmbrs, Halifax
 CLEGG, JAMES, and JAMES STEVENSON, late of Smithy Bridge, nr Rochdale, Yarn Agents Oct 3 at 11 Townhall, Rochdale
 COATES, JULIA, and ANDREW JAMES COATES, Preston, Butchers Oct 3 at 2.30 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
 COOKE, WILLIAM MICHAEL, Eye, Northamptonshire, Dairyman Oct 2 at 12 Law Courts, New rd, Peterborough
 DABNEY, WILLIAM ESAY, Broad at House, Financial Agent Sept 29 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
 DENNIS, ARTHUR MIDDLETON, Bedford, Tailor Oct 2 at 11 Off Rec, St Paul's sq, Bedford
 DUFF, CHARLES, Watchfield, Berks, Baker Oct 2 at 2 Off Rec, 22, High st, Swindon
 EVANCE, ALFRED, Bourton, Dorset, Gent Sept 29 at 2.30 Off Rec, Salisbury
 GOLDSWORTHY, JOHN, Wrexham, Engine Driver Sept 29 at 11 The Priory, Wrexham
 HANDCASTLE, JOHN, Broad at avenue, Chartered Accountant Oct 2 at 11 Off Rec, 29, Park row, Leeds
 HARRIS, RICHARD, Shepton Mallet, Somerset, Ironfounder Oct 4 at 12 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Corn st, Bristol
 HAWKES, WILLIAM RICHARD, Salford, late Licensed Victualler Sept 29 at 2.30 Ogden's chmbrs, Bridge st, Manchester
 HEYWOOD, WILLIAM, Salford, Tailor Sept 29 at 3.30 Ogden's chmbrs, Bridge st, Manchester
 HIBST, SHAW, Ridings, Colcar, nr Huddersfield, Engine Tenter Oct 2 at 3 Off Rec, 6, Queen st, Huddersfield
 HOCKLEY, JOHN HENRY, Swanage, Dorset, Baker Sept 29 at 12.30 Off Rec, Salisbury
 JACOB, GEORGE, Elwell st, Highbury, Builder Sept 29 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
 KEET, JOHN, Tollerhant Knights, Essex, Builder Oct 2 at 12 A J Prior, Eld lane, Wyre st, Colchester
 KNAGGS, LIZZIE, and ISOBELLE RAYCOFT, Bridlington Quay, Yorks, Tobaccoists Sept 29 at 11 Off Rec, 74, Newborough st, Scarborough
 LORAX, J C, the Albany, Piccadilly, Esq Oct 3 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
 LYONS, ALFRED DE COURCY, Blagdon, Somerset, Bachelor of Medicine Oct 4 at 12.30 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Corn st, Bristol
 MACAB, PETER, Maids Vale, Artist Oct 2 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
 MAIR, CHARLES PARSONS, Irthlingborough Grange, Northamptonshire, Farmer Oct 3 at 12.30 County Court bldg, Northampton
 MARSH, JOHN, Barnstable, Watchmaker Sept 30 at 11.30 Off Rec, 5n, Hammet st, Tauxton
 MARTIN, FREDERICK, Grenville st, Brunswick sq Oct 2 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
 MARTIN, HENRY WILLIAM, Eccles, Aylesford, Kent, Brakeman at Burham Lime Works Oct 10 at 2 Off Rec, Week st, Maidstone
 MITCHELL, WILLIAM TAYLOR, Walpole rd, Bromley, Solicitor Sept 29 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
 MYATT, DAVID, Manchester, Provision Dealer Sept 20 at 2.45 Ogden's chmbrs, Bridge st, Manchester
 PERKINS, BALLY, Richmond, Surrey, Cowkeeper Sept 29 at 11.30 24, Railway approach, London Bridge
 PRITCHARD, FANNY, Dawlish, Devon, Spinster Sept 29 at 10 Off Rec, 13, Bedford circus, Exeter
 RICE, JOHN, Facade, Cloak lane, and Bream's bldg Oct 3 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
 ROBINSON, ROBERT HARRY, Salisbury, Cycle Maker Sept 20 at 3 Off Rec, Salisbury
 RUDE, WILLIAM, Birkenhead, Tobaccoist Oct 3 at 3 Off Rec, 25, Victoria st, Liverpool
 SALMON, TOM JOHN, Ditchampton, Wilts, Basket Maker Sept 29 at 11 Off Rec, Salisbury
 SHARP, THOMAS PENNY, Colmer, Alton, Hants, Farmer Oct 3 at 3 Off Rec, 4, East st, Southampton
 SHAW, HARRY, Chorlton on Medlock, Manchester, Builder Sept 29 at 3 Ogden's chmbrs, Bridge st, Manchester
 STILL, JAMES, Wilton, Wilts, Gardener Sept 29 at 11.45 Off Rec, Salisbury

STEELE, JOHN PITCHFORTH, Delighton, Huddersfield, Woollen Carder Sept 30 at 11 Off Rec, 6, Queen st, Huddersfield
 VIRGO, ELIZABETH, Dover, Painter Oct 13 at 9.30 Off Rec, 73, Castle st, Canterbury
 WREED, DANIEL, Morpeth, Cattle Dealer Oct 3 at 11 Off Rec, Pink lane, Newcastle on Tyne
 WILLIS, ROBERT, Barnard Castle, nr Durham, Saddler Oct 11 at 3 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middlesbrough
 WILSON, FREDERICK ALEX, Gloucester, Plumber Sept 30 at 3 Off Rec, Gloucester

ADJUDICATIONS.

ALDCROFT, RICHARD, Patricroft, Lanes, Engineer Salford Pet Aug 2 Ord Sept 19
 ALLEN, ALFRED JOHN, Evelina rd, Nunhead, Greengrocer High Court Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18
 BARNES, TOM, Boscombe, Bournemouth, Plumber Poole Pet Aug 24 Ord Sept 19
 BATHUR, THOMAS, Macdonry, nr Oswestry, Salop, Miller Wrexham Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19
 BAXTER, ARTHUR THOMAS, Lower Southdown, Kent, Fruit Dealer Canterbury Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 BENNETT, HERBERT WHITE, West Bromwich, Painter West Bromwich Pet Sept 14 Ord Sept 18
 BRETT, CATHERINE, St Ives, Sparkhill, Warwickshire, Spinster Birmingham Pet June 20 Ord Sept 20
 CAMBRIDGE, BENJAMIN, Swindon, Furniture Dealer Swindon Pet Sept 13 Ord Sept 19
 CLARKSON, ALBERT, Halifax, China Dealer Halifax Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18
 CLEAVER, GEORGE, Kettering, Shoe Manufacturer Northampton Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19
 DAVIES, DAVID, Troedyrhiwfwch, Glam, Grocer Merthyr Tydfil Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19
 DE VIRE, ARTHUR JAMES, Plumstead, Kent, Clerk in a Life and Fire Insurance Co Greenwich Pet Sept 13 Ord Sept 13
 DIER, JOHN, Armley, Leeds, Cowkeeper Leeds Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18
 EVERETT, LEWIS, Sowerby, Yorks, late Grocer Chester Pet Aug 26 Ord Sept 16
 GOLIAN, JOHN, Sundorne grove, nr Shrewsbury, Farmer Shrewsbury Pet Sept 7 Ord Sept 20
 GRIFFITHS, GRIFFITH, Llanhaeadr yst Mochnant, Denbighshire, Innkeeper Newtown Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 20
 HADDLETON, JOHN THOMAS, Edington, Warwickshire, Carpenter Birmingham Pet Sept 15 Ord Sept 20
 HIRST, SHAW, Ridings, Colcar, nr Huddersfield, Engine Tenter Huddersfield Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18
 HOCKLEY, JOHN HENRY, Swanage, Dorset, Baker Poole Pet Sept 13 Ord Sept 20
 HOLMES, SIMON OAKES, 81 James Wharf, Caledonian rd, Coal Merchant High Court Pet July 10 Ord Sept 20
 JOHNSON, FLETCHER ATKINSON, Bradford, Chemist Bradford Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19
 JONES, RICHARD POWELL, Tredegar, Mon, Greengrocer Tredegar Pet Sept 1 Ord Sept 19
 KINGS, CHARLES JAMES, Rothsay st, Bermondsey New rd, Floorcloth Manufacturer High Court Pet Aug 22 Ord Sept 18
 KNOWLES, THOMAS, Earlestown, Lanes, Tailor Warrington Pet Aug 29 Ord Sept 18
 LEE, GEORGE, Southsea, Optician Portsmouth Pet Sept 30 Ord Sept 20
 MANNING, JOHN, Barnstable, Watchmaker Barnstable Pet Sept 13 Ord Sept 16
 MARTIN, HENRY WILLIAM, Eccles, Aylesford, Kent, Brakeman at Burham Lime Works Maidstone Pet Sept 16 Ord Sept 16
 McDONALD, ROBERT, Seacombe, Cheshire, Commission Agent Birkenhead Pet Aug 1 Ord Sept 18
 MIDGLEY, EDWIN, Waltham Cross, Herts, Clerk Edmon-ton Pet Sept 14 Ord Sept 20
 MORGAN, OSCAR HERBERT, SAMUEL EVELAND ISTD, and EDWARD PERCY MORGAN, Southampton, Contractors Southampton Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 19
 MORGAN, JOHN, Swansea, Commission Agent Swansea Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19
 MOSE, ELIJAH, Bolton, Labourer in an Ironfoundry Bolton Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19
 FOUNTNEY, GEORGE, Bewdley, Worcs, Licensed Victualler Kidderminster Pet Sept 1 Ord Sept 18
 ROBERTS, WILLIAM ALFRED, Oswestry, Jeweller Wrexham Pet Aug 23 Ord Sept 19
 ROBINSON, ROBERT HARRY, Salisbury, Cycle Maker Salisbury Pet Sept 11 Ord Sept 20
 SALMON, TOM JOHN, Ditchampton, Wilts, Basket Maker Salisbury Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19
 SHIRLEY, JOHN BOURNE, Basford, Stoke upon Trent, Agent Stoke upon Trent Pet Sept 11 Ord Sept 18
 SMITH, RICHARD ARTHUR, Stoke upon Trent, Brick Manufacturer Stoke upon Trent Pet Aug 30 Ord Sept 20
 STILL, JAMES, Wilton, Wilts, Gardener Salisbury Pet Sept 15 Ord Sept 16
 STONE, JOHN HENRY, Maidstone, Blacksmith Maidstone Pet Aug 29 Ord Sept 16
 SUGGER, HENRY, Bradford, Butcher Bradford Pet Aug 29 Ord Sept 18
 TATUM, ROBERT, Bagillt, Flint, Innkeeper Chester Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 18
 TOMBETT, GEORGE, Alkham, Kent, Farmer Canterbury Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 20
 WALKWRIGHT, SAMUEL, Higham, nr Alfreton, Derbyshire, Coal Miner Derby Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 20
 WAITE, HENRY, Store st, Livery Stable Keeper High Court Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 19
 WATTS, JOHN THOMAS, King's Lynn, Tailor King's Lynn Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 WEST, JOHN, 61 Somerford, Wilts, Timber Merchant Swindon Pet Sept 16 Ord Sept 20
 WHITE, CORNELIUS, Mortimer West End, Hants, Grocer Reading Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 WHITE, JOSEPH, Salisbury, Fruiterer Salisbury Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 WILKINSON, JOSEPH, Chorlton on Medlock, Manchester, Saddler Manchester Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 20

London Gazette—TUESDAY, SEPT. 26.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

BATES, THOMAS, Hookley, Birmingham, Builder Birmingham Pet Sept 22 Ord Sept 22
 BEAUCLECKE, HENRY WILLIAM, Hastings, Gant Hastings Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23
 BROWN, JOHN, Seaside, Horton in Ribblesdale, Yorks, Farmer Bradford Pet Sept 22 Ord Sept 22
 BROWN, WALTER, Chesham Bois, Bucks, Market Gardener Aylesbury Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23
 CARTER, ELIZABETH FRANCES, Middlesbrough, late Hotel Keeper Middlesbrough Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 COATES, THOMAS MALLALIEU, Loughborough, Veterinary Surgeon Leicester Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 CROOK, ABRAHAM, Coventry, Watch Manufacturer Coventry Pet Sept 8 Ord Sept 22
 DRAKE, GEORGE FREDERICK, Halifax, Short Wool Dealer Halifax Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23
 DEUMOND, JAMES, Putney, Surrey, Tailor Wandsworth Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21
 EART, ROBERT BURRILL, Beeston, nr Nottingham, Clerk in employ of Joint Stock Company Nottingham Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 20
 EVANS, GEORGE, Jun, Leicester, Leather Merchant Leicester Pet Aug 31 Ord Sept 22
 FAIRLIE, BURY, Lancaster place, Strand, Journalist High Court Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 22
 GRAY, CHARLES, Fuddistown, Dorset, Grocer Dorchester Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23
 GREENWAY, ARTHUR, Fetherston, nr Stonehouse, Glos, late Relieving Officer of Cheltenham Union Cheltenham Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23
 HARRY, HENRY JOHN, Newington Causeway, Mantle Maker High Court Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 21
 HARRIS, JOHN, Loughborough, Clothier Leicester Pet Sept 22 Ord Sept 22
 HODGSON, TOM, Wyke, Birstal, Yorks, Licensed Victualler Bradford Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21
 INBOSTON, JANE, Wakefield, Chemist Wakefield Pet Aug 26 Ord Sept 21
 LEWIS, WILLIAM, Llywel, nr Treacastle, co Brecon, Farmer Merthyr Tydfil Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 LLOYD, THOMAS, Cockett, Swansea, Quartermaster Swansea Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21
 LOCKLEY, JOHN, Cheltenham, Grocer Cheltenham Pet Sept 31 Ord Sept 21
 LODGE, FREDERICK, JAMES, and WILLIAM EDWIN HEWETT, Birmingham, Cabinet Makers Birmingham Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21
 NEAL, THOMAS, Wandsworth, Surrey, Builder Wandsworth Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 21
 PARBETTES, EDWIN, Coventry, Coal Dealer Coventry Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21
 PEARCE, FRANK, JOHN ADAMSTWAIN, and JOHN WILLIAM FORSTER, Sunderland, Grocers Sunderland Pet Sept 22 Ord Sept 22
 PENLEY, CLAUDE ASHLEY ARSON, Baron's Court rd, West Kensington, Solicitor High Court Pet Aug 8 Ord Sept 23
 PHILLIPOTT, WILLIAM, Barnsley, Herbalist Barnsley Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21
 PEARCE, JOHN, Burnley, Draper's Assistant Burnley Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21
 PRICHARD, MAURICE ST BARR, Chiswell st, Australian Merchant High Court Pet Sept 7 Ord Sept 23
 RASCHEN, H (jun), Bishopgate at Within High Court Pet Aug 18 Ord Sept 20
 RUXTON, FREDERICK SAMUEL, Awtie Junction, Glos, Railway Station Inspector Gloucester Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23
 SHENTON, GEORGE, Chesilton rd, Fulham, occupation unknown High Court Pet Aug 11 Ord Sept 21
 SMITH, WILLIAM KITSON THORNTON, West Hartlepool, Tobaccoist Sunderland Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 21
 SPEAKE, JAMES WALTER, ALFRED HENRY SPEAKE, and ROBERT ERNEST SPEAKE, Rochdale, Butcher Dealers Bolton Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21
 STAPLES, HERBERT, Eastbourne, Coal Merchant Eastbourne Pet Sept 7 Ord Sept 21
 TUBERT, JOHN (jun), High Wycombe, Bucks, Coal Merchant Aylesbury Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23
 WAGHORN, GEORGE, and ALBERT MACCY, Holloway rd, Oil Merchants High Court Pet Sept 1 Ord Sept 21
 WEDDER, JAMES, Hereford, Saddler Hereford Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23
 WELCH, HENRY CUMMINGS, Penryn, Cornwall, Ironmonger Truro Pet Sept 14 Ord Sept 23
 WEST, FREDERICK, Gloucester, retired Publican Swindon Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21
 WHITE, WILLIAM JAMES, Trowbridge, Wilts, Builder Bath Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21
 WHITTALL, RUBEN, Bantow in Furness, Rail Sawyer Bantow in Furness Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23
 WOMACK, ALBERT, Wakefield, Boot Maker Wakefield Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20
 WYATT, GEORGE, Villa rd, Brixton, Architect High Court Pet Aug 28 Ord Sept 21
 YATES, EDWARD, Rhodlanerchrugog, Denbighshire, Grocer Wrexham Pet Sept 22 Ord Sept 22

The following amended notice is substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Sept 19—
 DALEY, JOHN, Liding, Kent, Cooper Rochester Pet Sept 14 Ord Sept 14

FIRST MEETINGS.

ALLEN, ALFRED JOHN, Evelina rd, Nunhead, Greengrocer Oct 5 at 1 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
 BAXTER, ARTHUR THOMAS, Lower Southdown, Kent, Fruit Dealer Oct 6 at 10 Off Rec, 73, Castle st, Canterbury
 BELLINGHAM, HERBERT F, Rye, Sussex, of no occupation Oct 9 at 12 Young & Sons, Bank bldg, Hastings
 BROOKS, WILLIAM, Burnley, Inspector of Drains Oct 5 at 3 Exchange Hotel, Nicholas st, Burnley
 BURGESS, JOHN ALFRED, Leicester, Beerhouse Keeper Oct 3 at 12.30 Off Rec, 34, Prior lane, Leicester
 CAMBOUX, GEORGE OLIVER, Craven st, Strand, Wine Merchant Oct 4 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
 CHASEY, JOHN, Battersea, Rice, Surrey, Min & Ball Manager Oct 13 at 11.30 Off Rec, Rochester

COATES, THOMAS MALLALIEU, Loughborough, Veterinary Surgeon Oct 4 at 12.30 Off Rec, 34, Friar lane, Leicester

COSNOR, THOMAS, Redbourn, Herts, Farmer Oct 4 at 12 Mr. Annesley's Office, Verulam rd, St Albans

DAVIES, SARAH JANE, Porth, Glam, Refreshment House Keeper Oct 4 at 12 Off Rec, 65, High st, Merthyr Tydfil

DAWSON, WALTER, Copthall avenue, Stock Dealer Oct 5 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

DE VINE, ARTHUR JAMES, Plumstead, Kent, Clerk in Life and Fire Insurance Co Oct 6 at 11.30 24, Railway approach, London Bridge

DIBB, JOHN, Atmley, Leeds, Cowkeeper Oct 4 at 11 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds

EVANS, GEORGE, jun, Leicester, Leather Merchant Oct 6 at 3 Off Rec, 34, Friar lane, Leicester

GRATTAN, JOHN, Exeter, Boot Manufacturer Oct 6 at 12 The Castle, Exeter

HALL, GEORGE ALBERT, Kettering, Grocer Oct 7 at 12.30 County Court bldg, Northampton

HARRIS, JOHN, Loughborough, Clothier Oct 4 at 3 Off Rec, 34, Friar lane, Leicester

HINON, RICHARD, Worcester, Shop Keeper Oct 12 at 10.30 Off Rec, 45, Copenhagen st, Worcester

HUDSON, WILLIAM JOHN, and PERCY JACKSON, Gracechurch st, Ship Brokers Oct 4 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey street

HUNTER, ANNIE, Pontypridd, Glam, Boot Dealer Oct 4 at 2 Off Rec, 65, High st, Merthyr Tydfil

JAMES, EDWARD LEE, Tredegar, Mon, Tailor Oct 4 at 3 Off Rec, 65, High st, Merthyr Tydfil

JUBB, JOHN, Bury St Edmunds, Baker Oct 3 at 12 36, Princes st, Ipswich

LAW, WILLIAM WYKES, Chingford, Essex, Grocer Oct 5 at 3 Off Rec, 36, Temple chambers, Temple avenue

MARTIN, JAMES, late of Hartlepool, Licensed Victualler Oct 3 at 3 Off Rec, 35, John st, Sunderland

MOORE, FREDERICK CATO, Regent st, Estate Agent Oct 4 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

MOSS, ELIJAH, Bolton, Labourer in Iron Foundry Sept 29 at 11 16, Wood st, Bolton

NUMERLEY, RICHARD, Melmoth pl, Fulham, Fancy Draper Oct 4 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

PARRY, WILLIAM REGINALD, Wrexham, late Grocer Oct 6 at 12 The Priory, Wrexham

PHILLPOTT, WILLIAM, Barnsley, Herbalist Oct 6 at 11.15 Off Rec, 3, Back Regent st, Barnsley

MIDDLEY, EDWIN, Waltham Cross, Herts, Clerk Oct 5 at 12 Off Rec, 55, Temple chambers, Temple avenue

RANDOLPH, RICHARD THOMAS, Long lane, Bermondsey, Licensed Victualler Oct 5 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

RICHES, CHARLES, Cavendish, Suffolk, Head Master of Grammar School Oct 11 at 12.45 Townhall, Colchester

SANDERS, THOMAS, Globe rd, Mile End, Corn Merchant Oct 4 at 1 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

SHRIMPTON, EBENEZER, Walworth rd, Provision Merchant Oct 6 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

SILVERTHORPE, CHARLES, jun, Copper st, City rd, Boot Manufacturer Oct 5 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

SMITH, A A, Crawford st, Edgware rd, Provision Dealer Oct 4 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

SPEAKE, JAMES WALTER, ALFRED HENRY SPEAKE, and ROBERT ERNEST SPEAKE, Rochdale, Butter Dealers Oct 4 at 11 16, Wood st, Bolton

SPEACE, AMOS KIRKHAM, Spilsby, Lincs, Tailor Oct 5 at 12 Off Rec, 45, High st, Boston

SPEACER, WILLIAM JAMES, Gray's inn rd, Optician Oct 9 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

SWINEBANK, WILLIAM, Burnley, Butcher Oct 5 at 2.30 Exchange Hotel, Nicholas st, Burnley

TATTUM, ROBERT, Bagillt, Flint, Innkeeper Oct 3 at 10.30 Crypt chambers, Chester

TOMSETT, GEORGE, Alkham, Kent, Farmer Oct 6 at 10.30 Off Rec, 73, Castle st, Canterbury

TROTT, ALFRED, York st, Westminster, General Military Draper Oct 6 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

VOX SCHIELE, BARON JOACHIM, Portman mansions, Baker st Oct 5 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

WAINWRIGHT, SAMUEL, Higham, nr Alfreton, Derbyshire, Coal Miner Oct 3 at 2.30 Off Rec, St James's chambers, Derby

WHITE, JOSEPH, Salisbury, Fruiterer Oct 3 at 1 Off Rec, Salisbury

WINDSON, H B, Stock Exchange Oct 9 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

WOMACK, ALBERT, Wakefield, Boot Maker Oct 3 at 11 Off Rec, Bond ter, Wakefield

The following amended notice is substituted for that published in the London Gazette of 22 Sept.:-

WILLIS, ROBERT, Barnard Castle, co Durham, Saddler Oct 11 at 3 Off Rec, 3, Albert rd, Middlesbrough

ADJUDICATIONS.

ANDRE, JOHN, West Town, Somerset, Market Gardener Bristol Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 21

BARGATE, ARTHUR FREER, Fenchurch avenue High Court Pet July 26 Ord Sept 30

BATES, THOMAS, Hookley, Birmingham, Builder Birmingham Pet Sept 22 Ord Sept 23

BEELEY, JOHN HENRY, Sheffield, Insurance Agent Sheffield Pet June 19 Ord Sept 23

BING, JARVIS, Thanington, Kent, Farmer Canterbury Pet Sept 9 Ord Sept 22

BOTTOMS, THOMAS, Aston, Warwickshire, Cycle Manufacturer Birmingham Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 23

BROWN, JOHN, Solids, Horton in Ribblesdale, Yorks, Farmer Bradford Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 22

BROWN, WALTER, Chesham Bois, Bucks, Market Gardener Aylesbury Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23

BURGESS, JOHN, Hereford, Fish Dealer Hereford Pet Aug 1 Ord Sept 22

COATES, THOMAS MALLALIEU, Loughborough, Veterinary Surgeon Leicester Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20

DRAKE, GEORGE FREDERICK, Halifax, Short Wool Dealer Halifax Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23

EARN, ROBERT BURRILL, Beeston, nr Nottingham, Clerk in employ of Joint Stock Co Nottingham Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 23

GOLDSWORTHY, JOHN, Wrexham, Engine Driver Wrexham Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 23

GRAY, CHARLES, Puddletown, Dorset, Grocer Dorchester Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23

GREENWAY, ARTHUR, Freshwater, nr Stonehouse, Glos, late Relieving Officer of Cheltenham Union Cheltenham Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23

HARRIS, JOHN, Loughborough, Clothier Leicester Pet Sept 22 Ord Sept 22

HODGSON, TOM, Wyke, Birstal, Yorks, Licensed Victualler Bradford Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21

HUDSON, WILLIAM JOHN, and PERCY JACKSON, Gracechurch st, Ship Brokers High Court Pet Aug 18 Ord Sept 20

JERRMY, RICHARD, New inn Broadway, New inn yard, Curtain rd, Timber Merchant High Court Pet Sept 18 Ord Sept 20

KIRBY, HENRY, St Leonard's on Sea, Ironmonger Hastings Pet Sept 16 Ord Sept 21

KLEIN, JULIUS, Croydon, Surrey, Civil Service Tutor Croydon Pet Aug 21 Ord Sept 19

LEWIS, WILLIAM, Llywel, nr Treacastle, co Brecon, Farmer Merthyr Tydfil Pet Sept 10 Ord Sept 20

LEWIS, THOMAS, Cockett, Swansea, Quinnyman Swansea Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21

LOCKLEY, JOHN, Cheltenham, Grocer Cheltenham Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21

LODER, FREDERICK JAMES, and WILLIAM EDWIN HEWETT, Birmingham, Cabinet Makers Birmingham Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 23

MANICO, EDWARD CALLEY, Metal Exchange buildings, Cutlery Manufacturer Sheffield Pet July 24 Ord Sept 22

NUMERLEY, RICHARD, Melmoth pl, Fulham, Fancy Draper High Court Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 21

PARROTTER, EDWIN, Coventry, Coal Dealer Coventry Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 22

PEARCE, FRANK, JOHN ADAMTHWAITE, and JOHN WILLIAM FORBES, Sunderland, Grocers Sunderland Pet Sept 22 Ord Sept 23

PHILLPOTT, WILLIAM, Barnsley, Herbalist Barnsley Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 22

PRATT, JOHN, Burnley, Draper's Assistant Burnley Pet Sept 22 Ord Sept 22

PRICE, GEORGE HENRY, Farlow, Salop, Miller Kidderminster Pet Aug 28 Ord Sept 21

RUXTON, FREDERICK SAMUEL, Awtre Junction, Glos, Railway Station Inspector Gloucester Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23

SANDERS, THOMAS, Globe rd, Mile End, Corn Merchant High Court Pet Aug 24 Ord Sept 22

SPEAKE, JAMES WALTER, ALFRED HENRY SPEAKE, and ROBERT ERNEST SPEAKE, Rochdale, Butter Dealers Bolton Pet Sept 21 Ord Sept 21

STERNBERGERS, WILLIAM, Glasshouse st, Regent st, Green-grocer High Court Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 20

WEDDER, JAMES, Hereford, Saddler Hereford Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23

WEST, FREDERICK, Cirencester, retired Publican Swindon Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 21

WHITALL, REUBEN, Barrow in Furness, Rail Sawyer Barrow in Furness Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23

WOMACK, ALBERT, Wakefield, Boot Maker Wakefield Pet Sept 20 Ord Sept 20

YATES, EDWARD, Ricalanershrugos, Denbighshire, Grocer Wrexham Pet Sept 23 Ord Sept 23

SALE OF ENSUING WEEK.

Oct. 5.—Messrs. H. E. FOSTER & CRAWFIELD, at the Mart, E.C., Reversions, Annuity, Life Policies, and Shares (see advertisement, this week, p. 2).

All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticated by the name of the writer.

Subscription, PAYABLE IN ADVANCE, which includes Indexes, Digests, Statutes, and Postage, 52s. WEEKLY REPORTER, in wrapper, 26s.; by Post, 28s. SOLICITORS' JOURNAL, 26s. 0d.; by Post, 28s. 0d. Volumes bound at the office—cloth, 2s. 9d., half law calf, 5s. 6d.

REVERSIONS.

LAW REVERSIONARY INTEREST SOCIETY (Limited).

24, LINCOLN'S INN FIELDS, W.C.

CHAIRMAN—EDWARD JAMES BEVIE, Esq., Q.C.

DEPUTY-CHAIRMAN—JOHN CLERK, Esq., Q.C.

REVERSIONS and Life Interests Purchased. Immediate and Deferred Annuities granted in exchange for Reversionary and Contingent Interests.

LOANS may also be obtained on the security of Reversions. Prospectuses and Forms of Proposal, and all further information, may be had at the office.

C. B. CLABON, Secretary.

Special Advantages to Private Insurers.

THE IMPERIAL INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED. FIRE.

Established 1903.

1, Old Broad-street, E.C., and 22, Pall Mall, S.W.

Subscribed Capital, £1,200,000; Paid-up, £200,000.

Total Funds £1,600,000.

E. COLENS SMITH,

General Manager.

THE REVERSIONARY INTEREST SOCIETY, LIMITED

(Established 1893).

Purchase Reversionary Interests in Real and Personal Property, and Life Interests, and Life Policies, and Advance Money upon these Securities.—17, King's Arms yard, Coleman-street, E.C.

EDE AND SON,

ROBE MAKERS.

BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT

To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench, Corporation of London, &c.

ROBES FOR QUEEN'S COUNSEL AND BARRISTERS.

SOLICITORS' GOWNS.

Law Wigs and Gowns for Registrars, Town Clerks, and Clerks of the Peace.

Corporation Robes, University and Clergy Gowns.

ESTABLISHED 1659.

94, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.

WAUKENPHAST,

60, HAYMARKET, LONDON, S.W.

Gentlemen's Shooting and Tour Boots.

Ladies Glace Kid Boots and Shoes, all the latest styles.

Riding Boots of every kind, all Hand Sewn.



WAUKENPHAST, Ltd.,

Haymarket, S.W. 37, King William Street, London Bridge, E.C. 453, Oxford Street, W.

Must
be for

the
cated

is in-
Post-
ppar,
NAL,
ound
caly,

ENST

D.
A.C.
L. In-
ange for
f Revo-
rther in-
retary.

MPANY

W.
,000.

TH,
anager.
SOCIETY,

Personal
tion, and
g's Attri-

KERS.

ole of the
to.

ISTERS.

s, Town
s.
y Gown.

NDON.

T,

ng

et, W.

1347388